


Tony Blair Doodles!

To recapitulate the mix-up between Tony Blair's doodles and Bill Gates' doodles:



Notice that due to the structure of the argument, the single objection destroys the main conclusion *entirely*. What other objections could one add? Maybe one should object to something as unscientific as handwriting analysis being used to proclaim on the worthiness of leaders? Or maybe one should even object to the implication in the analysis: that Blair is not fit for the job, which in turn relies on the assumption that only *natural* leaders should be our political leaders? Maybe someone who has learned the art of leadership through hard work is even better equipped to lead a country than someone born with the talent? Identifying the parts of the argument help you assess the conclusion.